

Promoting Chestnuts and Connecting Chestnut Growers

A Quarterly Newsletter published by Chestnut Growers of America, Inc. · chestnutgrowers.org



Biology of Chestnut Anthracnose (formerly blossom end rot) in Culinary Chestnut

In This Issue

- 1 Biology of Chestnut Anthracnose by Amy Miller & Melanie L. Lewis Ivey
- 2 President's Message Roger Blackwell
- **3** Overview of the Upcoming 2023 Annual Conference
- 8 The Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp in North America by Carol C. Mapes

By Amy Miller & Melanie L. Lewis Ivey

Background

A tharvest time, many chestnut growers in the eastern United States are familiar with nuts with a moldy black tip, that sometimes split open, and often have just a dry black lesion on both the shell and kernel. Because the black tip most often occurs on the stylar or "blossom" end of the nut, this fungal disease has been called blossom end rot (BER), and it has nothing in common, other than the name, with the familiar blossom end rot of tomato.

First described by Fowler and Berry in 1958, BER does not appear in the American nut literature again until Greg Miller's article in *The Chestnut Grower* – "Blossom End Rot of Chestnut: A Small Problem Becomes a Big Problem" (Miller 2017). Even Miller couldn't have known how prescient that report would be as growers in 2018 experienced the worst BER outbreak ever recorded, with some orchards losing half their crop to the disease. Without any existing literature on the disease cycle or effective management strategies, growers in Ohio (OH) turned to The Ohio State University's fruit pathology lab in the Department of Plant Pathology for research and guidance on this emerging threat to the chestnut industry.

Based on G. Miller's observations in 2017 and concerns from OH and Pennsylvania (PA) growers in 2018, several key questions emerged:

THE CHESTNUT GROWER

Fall 2022

About Chestnut Growers of America, Inc.

The purpose of Chestnut Growers of America is to promote chestnuts, to disseminate information to growers of chestnuts, to improve communications between growers within the industry, to support research and breeding work, and generally to further the interests and knowledge of chestnut growers. CGA advocates the delivery of only high-quality chestnuts to the marketplace.

CGA began as the Western Chestnut Growers in 1996 in Oregon where about 30 or so chestnut growers understood the need to join forces to promote chestnuts in the U.S. Eventually they realized that they needed to be a national organization and solicited memberships from every grower in the country, which took the membership to over 100. The name of the organization was changed to Chestnut Growers of America, Inc., and it was granted 501(c)(5) status. Annual meetings take place around the country in an effort to make it possible for a maximum number of people to attend. A newsletter, *The Chestnut Grower*, is published quarterly and distributed by mail and/or email. CGA maintains an extensive resource site available only to members containing information helpful in growing and marketing. Visit chestnutgrowers.org for more information.

Board of Directors

President	Roger Blackwell Milford, MI	(810) 923-2954 rblackwel@comcast.net
Vice President	Sara Fitzsimmons University Park, PA	(814) 863-7192 sff3@psu.edu
Secretary/Treasurer	Jack Kirk Richmond, VA	(804) 357-1137 jackschestnuts@gmail.com
Director	Steve Jones Selah, WA	(509) 697-4160
Director	Greg Miller Carrollton, OH	(330) 627-3181
Director	Tom Wahl Wapello, IA	(319) 729-5905
Director	Luke Wilson Gridley, CA	(530) 370-5533
Committees		

Editor/Webmaster Rita Blythe

chestnutgrowersofamerica@gmail.com

Annual Membership Dues

Single membership, \$45; Household membership, \$55; Associate membership, \$60. Members receive *The Chestnut Grower* quarterly. Emailed newsletters are included. Mailed newsletters are an additional \$5 per year. A \$10 late fee is applied to membership renewals submitted after April 1.

Advertising Rates

Full page	¢00.00
Full page	φ20.00
Half page	\$15.00
Quarter page	\$10.00
Business card (4 issues)	\$15.00
Classifieds	FREE

Email ads to chestnutgrowersofamerica@gmail.com. Send payment for ads to Jack Kirk, 2300 Bryan Park Av., Richmond, VA 23228. Make checks payable to Chestnut Growers of America, Inc. OR visit www.chestnutgrowers.org/paydues.html to submit payment online via PayPal.

Editorial Opinion

The views, articles and advertising appearing in *The Chestnut Grower* do not necessarily reflect the attitude nor policy of Chestnut Growers of America, Inc., its members, officers, Board of Directors, or Editor. Chestnut Growers of America, Inc., and this publication are not responsible for errors and/or misrepresentations in advertising. The Editor reserves the right to reject or edit all material submitted for publication.

 $\textcircled{\mbox{\scriptsize org}}$ 2022. Original articles may be reprinted with written permission of the author and this publication.



Message from CGA President Roger Blackwell, Chestnut Grower

Hello Chestnut Growers of America!

We are hearing that our growers are having a very good year in selling fresh chestnuts.

In this message, I want to let you know the plans for the next annual meeting. We will be meeting jointly with the NNGA and the growers of the American Walnut Council for 2023. The Conference is scheduled for Sunday, July 23 through Wednesday, July 26, 2023, on the University of Missouri campus in Columbia, Missouri and the Horticulture and Agroforestry Research Center in New Franklin, Missouri. Please read this newsletter for additional information about the annual conference. We will have more information in the next issue for everyone's planning schedules.

I have another request for all CGA Members: we are looking for speakers for the annual meeting in July 2023. The talks can be 10 to 15 minutes in length and topics can be anything about chestnuts. We are looking to have your ideas by December 1, 2022 (or as soon as possible). Send your ideas to me at rblackwel@comcast.net.

CGA wants to thank the individuals who have submitted articles for this newsletter, and I encourage others in our organization to provide articles for future newsletters. We are all learning each year something new about growing chestnut trees in orchards throughout the country.

I hope you all have a plentiful harvest this fall and a wonderful holiday season.

Best regards,

Roger I. Blockwell

Roger

Overview of the Upcoming 2023 Annual Conference

The planning committee for the 2023 joint Northern Nut Growers/ Chestnut Growers of America/Walnut Council Conference has been busy. The Conference is scheduled for Sunday, July 23 through Wednesday, July 26, 2023 on the University of Missouri campus in Columbia and the Horticulture and Agroforestry Research Center in New Franklin, Missouri. At that point in the academic calendar, the University is between sessions, so we will have access to meeting rooms and University housing within a short walking distance of parking and cafeterias. Many major hotel and restaurant chains are located within easy driving distance. Anticipate there will be a CAPs program.

Sunday is set aside during the day for board meetings of all organizations. They will be followed in the evening by a welcoming reception. We anticipate lining one of the hallways with tables for exhibits and poster boards. Presenters should be able to set up their posters Sunday afternoon or evening.

Monday will be an all-day field tour at the Horticulture and Agroforestry Research Center (HARC). Plan to see new and established research plantings of Chinese chestnut, hazelnut, black walnut, northern pecan, pawpaw, and elderberry. Because there is ample parking at HARC, those of us who drive will be asked to drive and carpool. In the evening, a live auction is being planned to support the research grant programs for the NNGA and Walnut Council. Along with the live auction, the Walnut Council Foundation will be conducting a silent auction from Sunday evening through Tuesday evening. We hope members of all three organizations will participate in both the live and silent auctions.

Tuesday will be filled with invited presentations on Chinese chestnut, hazelnut, black walnut, northern pecan, and other topics determined by the planning committee. Instead of concurrent sessions, we anticipate having poster sessions with a time designated for presenters to be by their posters. In the evening, we will have a joint social and banquet. After the banquet each organization will have time to entertain the group with fun activities including the Big Nut crowning, honor and service awards, the Walnut Achievement Award, Pass the Hat, and elections.

Wednesday morning will be filled with additional invited presentations and continuation of the poster session. Closing the conference at noon allows for scheduling of post-conference tours in the afternoon.

If you have wanted to volunteer to do a how-to presentation, let Roger Blackwell know ASAP so he can communicate that information to the local planning committee. The planners anticipate a mix of short talks and traditional technical presentations. Because of the costs associated with recording, editing, and posting of presentations, it is unlikely there will be a virtual option. That means mark your calendars now and plan to physically attend.

Mark Your Calendars!

For the 2023 Annual Meeting, a joint meeting with the Northern Nut Growers Association (NNGA) and the Walnut Council.

July 23-26, 2023

Columbia, Missouri

More information above and detailed schedule coming soon.

NNGA CGA 2022 Joint Meeting Presentation Recordings

From Sara Fitzsimmons: Many thanks to you all for supporting our 2022 joint conference of the Northern Nut Growers Association and Chestnut Growers of America! The event was a great success both because of the hard work of all the organizers as well as those of you who came to join us, both in person and virtually. If you missed it, a playlist of all the presentations from meeting can be found here: <u>psu.mediaspace.kaltura.com/playlist/ dedicated/1_pe5gwwzs</u>

Continued from page 1...

- Why is the disease so much worse now? Blossom end rot has occurred in culinary chestnut crops in the eastern U.S. for at least the last 80 years, historically appearing as a minor pathogen affecting <2% of the crop. Since 2011 growers in OH and PA have seen disease incidence rise to 10-50%.
- What fungus is responsible for causing BER? In 2014, Dennis Fulbright at Michigan State University putatively identified the causal agent of chestnut BER as *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Advances in gene and whole genome sequencing provide an opportunity to confirm the identity of the fungal pathogen.
- Is there a relationship between chestnut BER and other diseases caused by *Colletotrichum*?

Colletotrichum gloeosporioides is also known to cause disease in many small fruit crops and apples. In 2018, an outbreak of bitter rot in OH apple orchards caused substantial losses of the 'Honey Crisp' crop. This outbreak coincided with the BER outbreak, leading to the possibility that the bitter rot disease cycle could be used as a model to decipher the BER disease cycle.

- What is the BER disease cycle? Deciphering the disease cycle is key to identifying strategies to prevent and control BER.
- How can BER be prevented or effectively managed? Most diseases caused by *Colletotrichum* require an integrated approach, starting with host resistance.
- Does the fungus that causes BER cooccur with the Asian chestnut gall wasp? In 2015, Lynne Rieske-Kinney at the University of Kentucky explored the invasion of the Asian chestnut gall wasp in OH chestnut orchards and found that a fungus in the genus *Colletotrichum* acted as a biocontrol for the wasp.

While it may take decades to fully answer the questions above, we have embarked on foundational research using the commercial orchards belonging to the Route 9 Cooperative in Carroll County, OH as our study site. Our work



Figure 1. Chestnut anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum henanense. Disease symptoms on the shell (top left), kernel (bottom left), and twig (right). Photos by Amy Miller.

has focused on BER in Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*) and hybrids, but we have also started to explore this disease in Allegheny chinkapin (*C. pumila*) and American chestnut (*C. dentata*). In 2019-2020, we identified twig cankers caused by the same fungus that causes BER, leading us to reclassify BER into a larger disease complex called **chestnut anthracnose** (Miller and Lewis Ivey 2022).

The short-term goals of our research are to determine the species of *Colletotrichum* implicated in disease in OH chestnut orchards, to evaluate for natural host resistance in commercially important chestnut cultivars, and to determine the optimal environmental conditions that favor disease development and progression. The long-term goals are to decipher the chestnut anthracnose disease cycle and identify time points and/or host tissues that may be targeted for management practices.

Anthracnose affects the kernels, shells, and twigs of chestnut (Figure 1). On the kernel, the disease is recognized by blackening of the kernels that may or may not be visible on the stylar end of the chestnut shell. The most common location for the lesion is on the tip; however, lesions are occasionally found on other parts of the kernel. The disease presents as one lesion per kernel, and multiple lesions per kernel have never been observed/recorded for this disease. Lesions tend to be black but sometimes are partially light brown. Lesions appear dry, have a dehydrated texture compared to healthy tissue, and do not have a discernible odor or flavor from the healthy kernel tissue. Lesions may occupy anywhere from 1-100% of the kernel area, but most commonly infect 30- 50% of the area. While rare, orange spore masses can form on the shell lesions.

Typically, kernel lesions that occupy more than 10% of the kernel area also exhibit a black lesion on the shell. Often when the lesion on the kernel covers less than 10% of the area, black lesions are not apparent on the shell, and thus it can be difficult to identify an infected kernel from the outside. Because of the dry nature of the lesions, anthracnose can be confirmed in fresh chestnuts by pressing on the tip with a finger. If the tip or suspected lesion area is soft, disease is present. If the tip is firm and turgid, the tissue is healthy. The squeeze test only works on fresh chestnuts that are fully hydrated.

Any anthracnose lesion renders a fresh chestnut unsaleable. If anthracnose nuts are not culled, they exhibit increased postharvest molds in cold storage compared to healthy nuts. In addition, anthracnose can ruin a chestnut's ability to germinate and grow because the lesions typically occur on the nut tip where the embryo and radicle meristem occur (Miller et al. 2013). This can greatly impact seedling production for commercial chestnut nurseries.

Cankers form on 1-yr twigs of chestnut seedlings. Cankers are brown with a purplish and/or orangish hue, sunken, and approximately 1 cm in length. In some seedlings, distal portions of infected twigs may die, while in other seedlings only shoots and leaves within the cankered areas die. Black acervuli (spore-bearing structures) have been observed erupting from canker tissues. The biology of anthracnose cankers is still under investigation, but we hypothesize that spores from twig cankers could be the source of kernel infections.

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PATHOGEN CAUSING CHESTNUT ANTHRACNOSE IN OHIO

Species Identification

Colletotrichum species are fungal pathogens of fruits, nuts, and vegetables worldwide, especially in tropical regions. Until recently, the species Colletotrichum gloeosporioides and C. acutatum were identified based on morphology and recognized as the main pathogenic species in fruits and nuts in temperate North America (Dowling et al. 2020). Advances in genomics techniques have revealed many species in the C. gloeosporioides and C. acutatum species complexes. Genomic tools allowed us to identify Colletotrichum species much more accurately than in the past, and improve the specificity of Colletotrichum species distribution, population dynamics, and ecology. We identified the causal agent of chestnut anthracnose

as *Colletotrichum henanense* (Miller and Lewis Ivey, 2022). *Colletotrichum henanense* is a distinct species within the highly variable *C. gloeosporioides* species complex.

To identify the chestnut anthracnose pathogen, we isolated and cultured Colletotrichum spp. on potato dextrose agar (PDA) from surface-sterilized tissue of both chestnut kernel lesions and chestnut twig cankers (Figure 2). Isolates from both tissue types produced grey aerial mycelia, pink sporodochia, and cylindrical conidia with rounded ends ranging in size from 12-20 um long by 5-8 um wide. Isolates were preliminarily identified as belonging to the C. gloeosporioides species complex based on these morphological characteristics. To identify the species molecularly, genomic DNA was extracted from isolates grown in culture on PDA.

The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene, β-tubulin (TUB2) gene, and the intergenic spacer known as ApMat between the DNA lyase gene and MAT1-2-1 mating type gene were amplified using the primers and protocols described by Dowling et al. (2020). These genes are suitable for identifying species within the C. gloeosporioides species complex according to Eaton et al. (2021). The sequences were compared with published sequences in an international nucleotide sequence database (GenBank). The Colletotrichum isolates from kernels and twigs were identified as C. henanense based on a 98-99% sequence identity with fungal isolates MT513015.1, MT513080.1,



Figure 2. Colletotrichum henanense *cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA). View of the bottom (left) and top (right) of the isolate. Photo by Amy Miller.*

and MT512917.1 (Miller and Lewis Ivey, 2022). To date, only one species of *Colletotrichum*, *Colletotrichum henanense*, has been identified as the causative agent of chestnut anthracnose. In other nut and fruit crops, multiple species of *Colletotrichum* cause anthracnose, making the chestnut anthracnose pathosystem unique.

Pathogenicity Tests

Pathogenicity tests were developed to use nuts at various stages of development and one-year-old chestnut seedlings grown in containers. Developing chestnuts in burs were gathered from the field and disinfested with 70% ethanol. Inoculation was attempted through an invasive method (stabbing nuts with a sterile hypodermic needle and injecting 50 uL inoculum) and a non-invasive method (spraying the nut tufts where they meet the outside of burs with 100 uL of inoculum). All bur samples were incubated at room temperature in a moist chamber for 21 days.

Twig nodes were also disinfested with 70% ethanol and inoculated via three invasive methods and three non-invasive methods. Invasive methods included wounding with a sterile probe and 1) carefully dropping 10 uL of inoculum directly onto the wound, 2) spritzing 100 uL of inoculum on and around the wound area, and 3) securing a mycelial compress from an agar plug directly onto the wound. Non-invasive methods were the same as the invasive ones, but instead of wounding, inoculum was applied to the non-wounded leaf scar at each node. Seedlings were incubated at room temperature for 60 days and monitored for symptom development.

Chestnut kernels that were inoculated by wounding developed characteristic brown/black lesions at the wound site while nuts inoculated using a noninvasive technique showed no symptoms. Like the nuts, only the invasive techniques resulted in disease on the twigs. Within five days, brown/purple cankers were developing, and by 30 days canker growth had stopped and acervuli had begun to form. Acervuli were still present 60 days after inoculation. No sporulation, as indicated by oozing acervuli, was observed within that time window.

Continued from previous page...

For many hosts, Colletotrichum does not require a wound to infect as it produces specialized structures called appresoria that can puncture through the host surface. Because inoculation through wounds was the only way we successfully caused disease on kernels and twigs in the lab, it is likely that wounds have ecological relevance to the disease cycle. Although chestnut twig cankers can be induced in healthy trees, the cankers do not necessarily cause shoot death. However, shoot death associated with anthracnose twig cankers has been observed in trees that are also stressed in other ways. In our studies we observed that otherwise healthy trees were able to heal around the cankers and maintain healthy shoots distally from the cankers. Even if anthracnose cankers do not kill shoots, the fungus can sporulate from cankers and potentially be a primary source of inoculum in the orchard.

RESERVOIRS AND INOCULUM SOURCES OF C. HENANENSE

To identify pathogen reservoirs and sources of inoculum in the chestnut orchard, we collected and cultured from leaves, twigs, burs of Chinese chestnut, American chestnut, and Allegheny chinkapin, and galls of the Asian chestnut gall wasp (*Dryocosmus kuriphilus*), throughout the year and at key developmental points during the growing season (bloom, bur growth, and fruit fill). Samples were collected from an orchard with a history of anthracnose. We also collected leaf and twig tissue from apple trees in an orchard adjacent to the Chinese chestnut orchard. Isolates with a morphology consistent with *Colletotrichum* were identified to species using the same genomic methods described above.

We successfully isolated C. henanense on leaves, twigs, and burs, and at all developmental stages of the three chestnut types during the growing season. This suggests that C. henanense is ubiquitous in chestnut orchards following an outbreak of anthracnose and that its presence in the orchard does not necessarily result in disease. However, additional research is needed to determine the length of time that *C*. henanense persists on these tissues in the orchard. Although C. henanense was isolated from asymptomatic tissues in American chestnut and Allegheny chinkapin, anthracnose disease has never been observed on kernels of these species. More research is needed to determine whether those Castanea species native to North America are resistant to chestnut anthracnose, despite hosting C. henanense.



Figure 3. Sporulating fungal lesion of Colletotrichum fiorinae on gall caused by Asian chestnut gall wasp. Photo by Amy Miller.

Interestingly, C. henanense was not isolated from lesions on galls of the Asian chestnut gall wasp or from apple leaves or twigs. The only species isolated from lesions on wasp galls was C. fiorinae (Figure 3), which belongs to the C. acutatum species complex and has antagonistic activity against the Asian chestnut gall wasp (Graziosi and Rieske 2015). On apple fruit, bitter rot disease is commonly caused by C. fioninae, C. fructicola, C. nymphaeae, and C. chrysophilum (Martin et al. 2022). While C. henanense has rarely been implicated in bitter rot in apple, an extensive survey of other tissues (I.e., leaves, twigs, blossoms) in apple orchards has not been done but it would be prudent to do so to determine if apple orchards are a source of C. henanense.

CULTIVAR SUSCEPTIBILITY TO CHESTNUT ANTHRACNOSE

To explore whether there is variation in disease susceptibility among commercially important cultivars of Chinese chestnut, we evaluated disease incidence and severity in nuts over three years. Bloom windows were assessed for eight chestnut cultivars ('Qing', 'Perry', 'Gideon', 'ACE', 'Peach', 'Szego', 'Liu', and 'AU Homestead') and regional weather data (average daily rainfall, free moisture, maximum and minimum daily temperature) were collected during the bloom periods. Disease intensity was assessed for each cultivar at harvest time. Nuts from each cultivar were sorted and counted based on the presence or absence of anthracnose symptoms, and anthracnose severity was determined by cutting nuts in half and measuring the length of the necrotic lesion. Simple linear regression was used to compare disease incidence with weather variables, and chi square tests of homogeneity were used to compare disease intensity (incidence and severity) among cultivars.

Significant differences in disease incidence were observed among the cultivars, with consistently low incidence in 'Qing', 'Liu', and 'AU Homestead' (<2% each), moderate incidence in 'Gideon', 'Perry', and 'ACE' (2-10% each), and high incidence in 'Peach' and 'Szego' (>10% each) (Figure 4).

Significant differences in disease incidence among the same cultivar across



Figure 4. Incidence of chestnut anthracnose on kernels by cultivar averaged over the 2019-2021 harvest seasons at three sites in the Route 9 Cooperative orchards. Incidence is expressed as a percent of diseased kernels out of all kernels harvested per cultivar. Error bars indicate standard deviation in disease incidence for all cultivars with 2+ years of data.

different sites suggest that environmental factors also contribute to cultivar susceptibility; however, no correlation was detected between incidence and total precipitation, free moisture, or temperatures from bloom to harvest within the scope of this study. Mean severity per cultivar, expressed as percent of kernel infected, ranged from 52%-64%, with no significant differences in severity among cultivars. To continue deciphering the disease cycle, disease incidence and severity over several more years will need to be compared with weather data.

Acknowledgements:

We thank the Northern Nut Growers Association for partially funding this research. Other funding sources include the Ohio Department of Agriculture Specialty Crop Block Grant award no. AM190100XXXXG021, Paul C. and Edna H. Warner Grants for Sustainable Agriculture, and CFAES Research & Graduate Education Internal Grants Program. We thank Route 9 Cooperative for providing the orchards to conduct the research.

This article is reprinted with permission from The Nutshell, the quarterly publication of the Northern Nut Growers Association (nutgrowing.org).

Literature Cited:

Dowling, M., Peres, N., Villani, S. and Schnabel, G., 2020. Managing *Colletotrichum* on fruit crops: A "complex" challenge. Plant Disease 104(9): 2301-2316.

Eaton, M.J., Edwards, S., Inocencio, H.A., Machado, F.J., Nuckles, E.M., Farman, M., Gauthier, N.A. and Vaillancourt, L.J., 2021. Diversity and cross-Infection potential of *Colletotrichum* causing fruit rots in mixedfruit orchards in Kentucky. Plant Disease 105(4): 1115-1128.

Fowler, M.E. and Berry, F.H., 1958. Blossom end rot of Chinese chestnuts. Plant Disease Reporter 42: 91-96.

Graziosi, I. and Rieske, L. K. 2015. A plant pathogen causes extensive mortality on an invasive insect herbivore. Agr Forest Entomol 17: 366-374.

Liu, F., Weir, B.S., Damm, U., Crous, P.W., Wang, Y., Liu, B., Wang, M., Zhang, M. and Cai, L., 2015. Unravelling *Colletotrichum* species associated with Camellia: employing ApMat and GS loci to resolve species in the *C. gloeosporioides* complex. Persoonia: Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi 35: 63.

Martin, P.L., Krawczyk, T., Pierce, K., Thomas, C., Khodadadi, F., Aćimović, S.G. and Peter, K.A., 2022. Fungicide sensitivity of *Colletotrichum* species causing bitter rot of apple in the Mid-Atlantic USA. Plant Disease 106(2): 549-563.

Miller, A. and Lewis Ivey, M.L., 2022. First report of *Colletotrichum henanense* causing anthracnose on Chinese chestnut in the United States. Plant Disease, doi. org/10.1094/PDIS-12-21-2661-PDN

Miller, A., Miller, D.D., and Pijut, P.M. 2013. How a flower becomes a chestnut: Morphological development of Chinese chestnuts (*Castanea mollissima*). Annual Report of the Northern Nut Growers Association 103: 27-33.

Miller G. 2017. Blossom End Rot of Chestnut: A Small Problem Becomes a Big Problem. The Chestnut Grower 18: 1.



ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Amy C. Miller is the Manager of Route 9 Cooperative in Ohio, a Ph.D. student in Plant Pathology at Ohio State University studying nut and fruit diseases, and owner of a diversified fruit and nut farm focusing on low-input perennial foods. She is committed to specialty crop research and continuing the family orchard legacy while focusing on long-term environmental and economic sustainability.

Dr. Melanie L. Lewis Ivey is an Associate Professor in the Department of Plant Pathology at The Ohio State University, College of Food, Agricultural and Environmental Sciences – Wooster Campus. She is also the OSU Extension Fruit Pathologist and Fresh Produce Safety Specialist. Her research focuses on the sustainable and safe production of fruit, nut, and hop crops.

The Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp in North America

The Asian chestnut gall wasp (ACGW), Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu, 1951 (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) is an invasive gall-forming cynipid wasp that is a global pest of Castanea spp. Mill. (Gibbs et al. 2011; Borowiec et al. 2014). Native to China, the ACGW spread to Japan in 1941, Korea in 1958, the United States in 1974, Nepal in 1999, Italy in 2002 with subsequent spread to many other countries in Europe, Canada in 2012, and Russia in 2016 (Avtzis et al. 2019). Galls caused by the ACGW in North America are found on the Chinese chestnut (Castanea mollissima Blume), Japanese chestnut (C. crenata Siebold & Zucc.), and European chestnut (C. sativa Mill.), as well as on the American chestnut (C. dentata (Marshall) Borkh.). ACGW galls are rarely found on native Allegheny chinquapins (C. pumila (L.) Mill.) and native Ozark chinquapins (C. ozarkensis Ashe) (Rieske 2007; Anagnostakis 2014).

Life History of the ACGW

Larvae of the ACGW spend the winter "hidden" in buds of *Castanea* spp. After overwintering in the buds, the larvae form green and/or red galls (Figure 1) that are 5 to 20 mm (sometimes up to 30 mm) in diameter, on developing petioles, leaves, and stems (Figures 2 and 3) of *Castanea* spp. (EPPO 2005; Cooper and Rieske-Kinney 2007). Galls contain from 1 to 25 larval chambers or locules (Figure 4) (EPPO 2021).

Larvae pupate in the galls (Cho and Lee 1963), and adult female wasps emerge from fully formed galls in late spring or early to mid-summer. The time of emergence is site-specific and is affected by factors such as latitude and altitude (Bosio et al. 2010, EPPO 2021).

There are no male wasps, no sexual reproduction, and females develop from unfertilized eggs (Zhu et al. 2007). Adult ACGWs (Figure 5) are only 2.5 to 3.0 mm long and live from 2 to 10 days (Yasumatsu 1951; EPPO 2021). Female wasps lay unfertilized eggs in *Castanea* spp. buds, and each female wasp can lay up to 300 eggs (EPPO 2021).

It takes just one female to start an infestation (EFSA 2010). Individual buds

By Carol C. Mapes

can contain up to 30 eggs that are 0.1-0.2 mm long (Payne 1978, EPPO 2021). Larvae hatch from eggs in the buds in 30 to 40 days, and larvae overwinter in the buds.

It is not possible to visually determine which buds contain eggs or larvae without the aid of a stereomicroscope (EPPO 2021). Molecular techniques (PCR) can however be used to detect the presence of the ACGW in buds (Sartor et al. 2012).

After overwintering, larvae become active again in the spring and induce gall formation (Borowiec et al. 2014). After galls are fully developed, adult female wasps emerge from the current season galls, and the cycle repeats. Interestingly, there is a newly described species, Dryocosmus zhuili Liu & Zhu, 2015 that has been shown to form galls on Chinese chinquapins (C. henryi (Skan) Rehd. & Wils.) that are morphologically indistinguishable from ACGW galls. Unlike the case for the ACGW, there are both male and female wasps of D. zhuili. As of yet, D. zhuili has only been reported in China and only on Chinese chinquapins (Zhu et al. 2015).

Damage Caused by the ACGW

Infestation with ACGW galls results in inhibited shoot elongation, reduction in flowering and fruiting/nut production, premature leaf death (Figure 6), premature leaf abscission resulting in leaf area loss, twig dieback, reduction in winter bud development, reduction in shoot and tree vigor and in some cases tree mortality, particularly of smaller trees when other stressors are present (Payne 1978; Avtzis et al. 2019). Heavy infestations of the ACGW have been implicated in making European chestnuts (*C. sativa*) trees more susceptible to blight (Turchetti et al. 2010).

Dispersal of the ACGW in North America

Since its initial introduction in Georgia in 1974, *D. kuriphilus* has been reported in 16 additional states: Alabama, Tennessee, South Carolina, North Carolina, Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia, Ohio, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Michigan, Connecticut, and Massachusetts (USDA Forest Service 2019; Mapes et al. 2020). *D. kuriphilus* was reported in Niagara-on-the-Lake, Ontario, Canada in 2012 (Huber and Read 2012).

The ACGW disperses by natural and artificial means. Natural means consist of dispersal by active flight and transport by the wind (EPPO 2021). ACGWs are not strong flyers; they are induced to fly at low wind speeds but at high wind speeds, the wasps are blown by the wind. The direction of the prevailing winds plays an important role in dispersal (Oho and Shimura 1970, EFSA 2010).

Artificial means of dispersal include human transport of infested plant material (EPPO 2021) including seedlings, saplings, or scionwood. Early range expansion in the Eastern United States was reported at rates of about 23 km/year, with a range of 15.2 to 25 km/year (Rieske 2007) and 15 miles/year (24.1 km/year) (Payne 1981). The early expansion of the range of the ACGW in the Eastern United States was thought to be due to natural means (Rieske 2007), with some of the more recent dispersal events most likely due to the introduction of infested plant material (Cooper and Rieske 2007; Mapes et al. 2020).

Control of the ACGW

Resistant Japanese chestnut (C. crenata) cultivars that were developed after the introduction of the ACGW into Japan in 1941, were grown successfully for many years until the 1960's and 1970's when galls were found more and more frequently on those cultivars (Moriya et al. 2003). Additional resistant Japanese chestnut cultivars have subsequently been developed. In Europe, the Japanese chestnut (C. crenata) × European chestnut (C. sativa) "Bouche de Bétizac" hybrid cultivar that was developed, is used by growers as it is resistant to the ACGW (Dini et al. 2012). In North America, crosses have been done using native Ozark chinquapins (C. ozarkensis) that are rarely galled or Chinese chinquapins (C. henryi) that also show some resistance. Offspring of crosses of American chestnut with Ozark chinquapin x Chinese chestnut have shown some resistance to gall formation (Anagnostakis 2014), and research involving crosses of commercial chestnuts



Figure 1. Galls of the Asian chestnut gall wasp (Photo: Mark Giambrone).



Figure 4. The interior of a gall of the Asian chestnut gall wasp showing two larval cavities/locules (Photo: Mark Giambrone).



Figure 7. The biological control parasitoid Torymus sinensis (Photo: Gregory Setliff).

with Chinese chinquapin or Ozark chinquapin are also showing promise (Anagnostakis 2019).

The use of insecticides against the ACGW has not been considered a particularly suitable control option for several reasons. Larvae are well protected within the galls early in the season, and bud scales provide protection of larvae within buds later in the season (Moriya et al. 2003; Aebi et al. 2007; Bosio et al. 2010). Use of insecticides against emerging adults would require precise timing and would also require potentially large quantities of insecticides adding to other environmental and toxicity concerns (Bosio et al. 2010; Avtzis et al. 2019; EPPO 2021).



Figure 2. A stem gall of the Asian chestnut gall wasp (Photo: Carol Mapes).



Figure 5. The Asian chestnut gall wasp, Dryocosmus kuriphilus (*Photo: Gregory* Setliff).

Another avenue of control that has been investigated is hot water treatment (HWT) of dormant buds to kill the ACGW larvae within buds. Immersion of Qing Chinese chestnut scions in water at 52°C for 10 minutes was shown to kill *D. kuriphilus* larvae in buds and resulted in successful grafts. It was shown that conditions need to be carefully controlled as slightly higher temperatures (53°C for 10 minutes) caused injury and much lower graft union success (Warmund 2014). Immersion of European chestnut (C. sativa) scions in water at 49°C for 10 minutes killed D. kuriphilus larvae in buds and resulted in a high percentage of successful grafts (Ciordia et al. 2020). More research is needed to determine the effectiveness and parameters required for HWT of scions of other Castanea spp. and cultivars, as well as more research on HWT of seedlings.

Biological control with the parasitoid *Torymus sinensis* Kamijo, 1982 (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) (Figure 7) is considered the most effective method of



Figure 3. A leaf gall of the Asian chestnut gall wasp (Photo: Carol Mapes).



Figure 6. Premature leaf death caused by a gall of the Asian chestnut gall wasp (Photo: Carol Mapes).

ACGW control. Populations of *T. sinensis*, native to China, are now established in Japan, Europe, and North America (Avtzis et al. 2019). The life cycle of *T. sinensis* is closely aligned with that of the ACGW. *T. sinensis* adults emerge from overwintering ACGW galls in the spring. After mating, females lay eggs on ACGW larvae or on the walls of larval chambers in developing galls. A larva of *T. sinensis* feeds on an ACGW larva, eventually killing it. Larvae of *T. sinensis* overwinter and pupate in ACGW galls, and adults emerge in the spring (Quacchia et al. 2008).

Pruning of branches with newly formed galls in the spring before adults emerge, and disposal of the galls in a manner that kills the wasps, is a practice that can provide control on a small scale (Payne and Johnson 1979). Removal of current season galls after ACGW departure will result in the removal of *T. sinensis* parasitoids that are still present in the galls. Therefore, if one wishes to help maintain levels of the parasitoid *T. sinensis*, recently vacated current season galls (Figure 8, next page), should not be removed, as *T. sinensis* overwinters in the galls.

The states of Michigan and Oregon have issued quarantines for *D. kuriphilus* (MDA

Continued on next page ...

Continued from previous page...



Figure 8. Galls after the emergence of adult Asian chestnut gall wasps (Photo: Carol Mapes).

2010; Oregon Administrative Rule § 603-052-0075, 2014). Michigan's Chestnut Gall Wasp Quarantine was established in 2010. Among other things, it prohibits importing Castanea spp. plants and scionwood from infested states unless the place of production has passed inspections as specified and is certified "pest free". Castanea spp. plant material must be enclosed when transported through an infested county between May 1 and July 1 (MDA 2010). The Oregon Administrative Rule § 603-052-0075 (2014) protects against chestnut blight and all chestnut pest insects including the chestnut gall wasp. Castanea spp. & Castanopsis spp. plant material as specified, is not allowed into the state from defined eastern states, except by special permit. For defined western states, plant material and the site of production need to be certified disease and pest free as specified (Oregon Administrative Rule § 603-052-0075, 2014). Warmund et al. (2017) have proposed that additional states consider implementing quarantines to delay what may be the inevitable spread of the ACGW into non-infested states.

Summary

The Asian chestnut gall wasp, a global pest of *Castanea* spp., has spread throughout much of the Eastern United States since it was first inadvertently introduced into Georgia in 1974. The ACGW spreads by natural means (by flight and wind) and by artificial means through the transport of contaminated plant material. The ACGW "hides" in dormant buds in late summer and through the winter, making it easily spread through contaminated plant material. Hot water treatment (HWT) of buds has shown promise in treating contaminated buds. Some resistant chestnut cultivars have been developed, and there is ongoing research to develop others. The most effective method of control has been biocontrol by the introduced parasitoid *Torymus sinensis*. Two states have issued quarantines for the ACGW, and other states may follow. Greater awareness of the ACGW and additional research are needed to help control the spread of this invasive pest species in North America.

This article is reprinted with permission from The Nutshell, the quarterly publication of the Northern Nut Growers Association (nutgrowing.org).

About the Author

Carol C. Mapes is a Professor in the Department of Biology, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania, Kutztown, PA 19530. Her contact information is mapes@kutztown.edu. Her research focuses on cecidology, the study of plant galls. She would not mind at all if someone contacted her with photos of chestnut galls, to get her opinion as to whether the galls were produced by the Asian chestnut gall wasp. She is also willing to help in the identi6cation of photos of galls on other nut trees. However, please do not send actual specimens of galls.

Literature Cited

Aebi A, Schonrogge K, Melika G, Quacchia A, Alma A, and Stone GN (2007) Native and introduced parasitoids attacking the invasive chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. EPPO Bulletin 37, 166-171.

Anagnostakis SL (2014) 2e Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station; Asian Chestnut Gall Wasps on Connecticut Chestnut Trees. Available from: https://portal.ct.gov/-/ media/CAES/DOCUMENTS/Publications/ Fact_Sheets/Plant_Pathology_and_Ecology/.

Anagnostakis SL (2019) Ozark Chinquapins and the Gall Wasp, Annual Meeting of the Ozark Chinquapin Foundation, October 7, 2019. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8KX_ D9AdAr8.

Avtzis DN, Melika G, Matošević D, Coyle DR (2019) 2e Asian chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* a global invader and a successful case of classical biological control. Journal of Pest Science 92: 107–115.

Borowiec N, 2aon M, Brancaccio L, Warot S, Vercken E, Fauvergue X, Ris N, Malausa JC (2014) Classical biological control against the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in France. Plant Protection Quarterly 29: 7–10.

Bosio G, Gerbaudo C, Piazza E (2010) *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu: an outline seven years after the first report in Piedmont (Italy). Acta Horticulturae 866:341–348.

Cho DY, Lee SO (1963) Ecological studies on the chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu, and observation on the damages of the chestnut trees by its insect. Korean Journal of Plant Protection 2: 47–54.

Ciordia M, Garcia JC, Loureiro, MD (2020) Hot water treatment: An effective method for disinfecting *Castanea sativa* Mill. Dormant scions against *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu. Pest Management Science 76: 1944-1948.

Cooper WR, Rieske LK (2007) Community associates of an exotic gallmaker, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), in Eastern North America. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 100 (2): 236–244.

Cooper WR, Rieske-Kinney LK (2007) Review of the Historic and Current Status of the Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp in North America. Journal of the American Chestnut Foundation XXI (2): 26–32.

Dini F, Sartor C, Botta R (2012) Detection of a hypersensitive reaction in the chestnut hybrid "Bouche de Betizac" infested by *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 60:67–73.

EFSA (2010) Risk assessment of the oriental chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* for the EU territory and identification and evaluation of risk management options (EFSA Panel on Plant Health PLH ed). European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy. EFSA Journal 8 (6): 1619.

EPPO (2005) *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. EPPO Bulletin 35: 422–424.

EPPO (2021) *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. EPPO datasheets on pests recommended for regulation. Available online. https://gd.eppo.int (Accessed August 2021).

Gibbs M, Schönrogge K, Alma A, Melika G, Quacchia A, Stone GN, Aebi A (2011) *Torymus sinensis*: a viable management option for the biological control of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Europe? BioControl 56: 527–538.

Huber JT, Read J (2012) First record of the oriental chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), in Canada. Journal of the Entomological Society of Canada 143: 125–128.

Mapes CC, Setliff GP, Courtney RS, Bothur K (2020) Range Expansion and Dispersal of the Asian Chestnut Gall Wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) in the Mid-Atlantic States. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 146: 503-519.

(MDA) Michigan Department of Agriculture (2010) Chestnut Gall Wasp Quarantine.

Available from https://www.michigan.gov/ documents/mda/mda_Chestnut_Gall_Wasp_ Quarantine_321905_7.pdf (Accessed August 2021).

Moriya S, Shiga M, Adachi I (2003) Classical biological control of the chestnut gall wasp in Japan. pp. 407-415. In: Van Driesche, RG (ed): Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods, Honolulu, Hawaii, 14-18 January 2002, United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Morgantown, West Virginia, 573 pp.

Oho N, Shimura I (1970) Research process on the chestnut gall wasp and some recent problems about its damage. Shokubutsu Boeki (Plant Protection) 24: 421-427.

Oregon Administrative Rule § 603-052-0075. Quarantine; Chestnut Blight. (2014) Available from https://secure.sos. state.or.us/oard/displayDivisionRules. action?selectedDivision=2729 (Accessed August 2021).

Payne JA (1978) Oriental chestnut gall wasp: new nut pest in North America. In: Proceedings, American Chestnut Symposium, 4-5 January 1978. West Virginia University Press, Morgantown, pp 86-88.

Payne JA (1981) Gall wasp: status and future studies. P. 14. In: HC Smith (ed): Proceedings, U.S. Forest Service American Chestnut Cooperators' Meeting. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service General Technical Report NE-64, 22 pp.

Payne JA, Johnson WT (1979) Plant pests. In: Javnes RA (ed) Nut Tree Culture in North America. Northern Nut Growers Association, Hamden, pp 314-395.

Payne JA, Menke AS, Schroeder PM, Quacchia A, Moriya S, Bosio G, Scapin I, Alma A (2008) Rearing, release and settlement prospect in Italy of Torymus sinensis, the biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp Dryocosmus kuriphilus. Biological Control 53:829-839.

Rieske, LK (2007) Success of an exotic gallmaker, Dryocosmus kuriphilus, on chestnut in the USA: a historical account. Bulletin OEPP/ EPPO Bulletin 37: 172-174.

Sartor C, Torello Marinoni D, Quacchia A, Botta, R (2012) Quick detection of Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) in chestnut dormant buds by nested PCR. Bulletin of Entomological Research 102: 367-371.

Turchetti T, Addario E, Maresi G (2010) Interazioni tra cinipide galligeno e cancro della corteccia: Una nuova criticita per il castagno. Forest 7: 252-258.

USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station and Forest Health Protection. Alien Forest Pest Explorer - species map. Database last updated 26 March 2019. Available from https://www.nrs.fs.fed.us/tools/afpe/maps/ (Accessed August 2021).

Warmund, MR (2014) Disinfestation of Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu in Castanea scion wood. Acta Horticulturae 1019, 243-247.

Warmund MR, Green T, Wahl T, Dice K, Dallmeyer J. (2017) Chestnut growers urged to implement quarantine for chestnut gall wasp. The Chestnut Grower 18 (4): 1-5.

Yasumatsu K (1951) A new Dryocosmus injurious to chestnut trees in Japan (Hym., Cynipidae). Mushi: 89-93. 22.

Zhu DH, He YY, Fan YS, Ma MY and Peng DL (2007) Negative evidence of parthenogenesis induction by Wolbachia in a gall wasp species, Dryocosmus kuriphilus. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 124: 279-284.

Zhu DH, Liu Z, Lu PF, Yang XH, Su CY, Liu P (2015) New gall wasp species attacking chestnut trees: Dryocosmus zhuili n. sp. (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) on Castanea henryi from Southeastern China. Journal of Insect Science 15:1-7.

Orchard



Chestnut Ridge of Pike County, IL



Chestnut Growers of America 2300 Bryan Park Ave. Richmond, VA 23228



Chinese Chestnuts Proudly Grown by Family Farmers in America's Heartland!

Prairie Grove Chestnut Growers is an association of over 60 chestnut growers from Iowa, Illinois, and Missouri!

Harvest

Cleaned + Sorted

Delivered for Your Enjoyment



Our Chinese Chestnuts are harvested and brought in fresh by our growers



Next, our chestnuts are cleaned, sorted by size, bagged, and refrigerated to maintain peak freshness and flavor.

(563) 260-6333



Lastly, our chestnuts are delivered to you and over 1,800 other happy customers across 46 states and counting. No matter how you enjoy them, our chestnuts are guaranteed to satisfy!