## AIMs Project Outcomes: Aims Fulfilled and Next Steps

### Jeanne Romero-Severson, Professor, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN | jromeros@nd.edu

#### Background

The AIMs project arose out of the realization that hybrid chestnuts occur in naturally regenerated forests and in the orchards of chestnut growers. The hybrids in forests may occur due to sympatry with the native chinquapin species in the southern region of the previous native range of American chestnut. Hybrids in forests may also occur due to the naturalization and subsequent introgression of "intentional" hybrids, those made by chestnut growers hoping to improve the germplasm, and those made by USDA, University, and nonprofit organization scientists to study the host range of chestnut blight and to introgress the naturally occurring resistance in most Chinese chestnuts in the American chestnut. The low species barriers in *Castanea* could also have resulted in "unintentional" hybrids, those resulting from outcrossing with species and hybrids in chestnut orchards to natural forest settings. These factors plus the loss or lack of records on the location of intentional hybrids could have resulted in admixed descendants in orchards and natural settings. An additional complication is the difficulty of recognizing admixed trees, hybrid trees, or even species atypical trees by morphology alone. In the context of this report, "hybrid" means admixture consistent with F<sub>1</sub> hybrid. All other admixtures are simply reported as "admixed".

#### Aims of the AIMs Project

- 1. Identify and develop a set of markers, each of which are polymorphic across all *Castanea* species, reproducible, accurate, scalable, and platform independent.
- 2. Collect and genotype enough samples from putative "pure species" to detect admixture of species in any *Castanea* individual, at 5% or higher, for any combination of possible species.
- 3. Collect and genotype samples of naturally occurring American chestnut, other American chestnuts of paramount importance (e.g., Ellis), and chestnuts of interest to growers.
- 4. Optimize the approach to maintain accuracy, precision, and scalability while at the same time lowering the fully loaded cost per sample.

#### Results

The final dataset consisted of genotypes of 42 sequenced EST-SSR markers on each of 192 samples. The sample set included, as identified by the contributors, 42 *C. mollissima* (Chinese chestnut), 6 *C. henryi*, 3 *C. sequinii*, 22 *C. crenata* (Japanese chestnut), 18 *C. sativa* (European chestnut), 55 *C. dentata* (American chestnut), 13 *C. pumila* (Allegheny chinquapin), 33 *C. ozarkensis* (Ozark chinquapin), the chestnut cultivar hybrid 'Paragon' (*C. dentata/C. sativa*) and complex hybrid 'Luvall's Monster', of unknown ancestry. The samples included 3 sets of technical replicates and 2 sets of biological replicates.

The analysis method employed was Prichard's STRUCTURE<sup>1</sup>, a Bayesian approach that is agnostic to human-assigned species labels. The method is not sensitive to the order of the data. This method tests the likelihood of a series of possible priors. The prior is how many groups there are (1 group, 2 groups, etc.). The likelihood of each prior is tested, then compared with the others. The analysis detects the group composition of individual samples, given the prior. Thus, admixture estimates arise directly from the analysis without regard to what the humans think. The data were scored by repeatedly sequencing

(~50x) through a simple sequence repeat (SSR) embedded in an expressed sequence to obtain accurate sequence and then counting the number of repeats.

The variation in technical and biological reps was due to missing data, not differences in allele calls. Missing data can generate "ghost admixture" estimates, the magnitude of which depend on the context of the entire dataset. In this dataset, based on the replicate data, any admixture below 3% is likely to be spooky (i.e., unlikely to reappear again).

How the groups change as K goes from 8 to 6: Examining which grouping merges or splits at different values for the number of groups reveals how "robust" a group designation is. The groups shown (p1-6) are for K = 8, the current understanding of the number of putative species the data set includes. As K goes down (p7), the only groups to disappear are *C. seguinii*, which merges into the *C. henryi* group at K = 7, then both *C sequinii* and *C. henryi* merge into admixtures of *C. mollissima* with either *C. ozarkensis* or *C. crenata*, at K = 6. The Evanno method (a method of selecting at which K value the data are most likely) chooses K =  $6.^2$  This result is most likely driven by the small number of *C. henryi* and *C. sequinii* samples. Alternative interpretations are premature until the sample size of these two species is increased. Note that most of admixtures detected, including the Cape Elizabeth, Maine samples, do not change across these 3 groupings. *To see figures associated with this report, visit the member page at chestnutgrowers.org.* 

#### **Aims Fulfillment**

The first aim is fulfilled in all respects except the scalability. The method requires 100 samples to be cost-effective, given the next-gen sequencing approach. The second aim is fulfilled with respect to *C. mollissima* and *C. dentata*. The current collection of *C. crenata* and *C. sativa* are sufficient for the purpose of this analysis but require 10 to 20 more unrelated trees of each species for the accurate estimate of ancestry involving 3 or more species. This aim is not fulfilled with respect to *C. henryi* and *C. sequinii*. This aim is also inadequately fulfilled for *C. pumila* and *C. ozarkensis*. Ten to 15 more unrelated individuals of the Chinese chinquapins and *C. pumila* are needed. The third aim is not fulfilled in that not enough *C. dentata* could be included given the cost of the analysis. The fourth aim is unfulfilled.

#### **Next Steps**

Ron Revord at the University of Missouri and I at Notre Dame are funded to lead a participatory breeding program for chestnut growers in the central U.S. My part of this project will include the completion of aims two, three, and four above, followed by extensive genotyping of the germplasm available from growers. The latter activity will include generation of pedigrees as well as ascertainment of admixtures.

#### Conclusion

The results shown clearly show that unsuspected admixed *Castanea* occur in naturally regenerated forests, in the orchards of chestnut growers and in the orchards of breeding programs. Admixtures of American chestnuts and the native chinquapins are likely to be a long-standing natural result of range overlap. Some admixture with non-native *Castanea* may have preceded the appearance of ink disease and chestnut blight, at least in certain locations. Thus, consideration of what is "native", for the purpose of restoration, may be less important than consideration of ecological equivalence, at least under certain circumstances.

<sup>1</sup>Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard J: Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 2003, 164:1567-1587.

<sup>2</sup>Earl DA, vonHoldt BM: STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation Genetics Resources 2012, 4(2):359-361.

This report was originally submitted May 18, 2020.

#### Table 1. Some notable admixtures (by sample number)

Under presumed <i>C. mollissima</i>					
11	Schmucki timber type Admixed with <i>C. crenata</i> and <i>C. seguinii/C. henryi</i>				
25	Chestnut cultivar Heritage	Admixed with C. sativa			
Under pr	esumed <i>C. sequinii</i>				
2	Tree possibly from Mo lut tsz, from China via	Unadmixed C. crenata			
	S. Anagnostokis				
Under presumed C. crenata					
22	Tree thought to be possible <i>C. crenata/C.</i>	Unadmixed C. mollissima			
	<i>sativa</i> hybrid				
Under pr	esumed C. sativa				
8, 9	These are identical	C. sativa/C. crenata hybrid			
14	Berlin sativa	C. sativa/C. dentata hybrid			
Under pr	esumed <i>C. dentata</i>				
10	Nursery stock tree	C. sativa admixed with C. dentata			
11	Nursery stock tree	C. sativa/C. crenata hybrid			
24	Naturally occurring tree	Evidence of admixture with C. henryi/C. sequinii			
		(requires confirmation)			
27	TACF breeding program tree	Slight admixture with C. mollissima			
28	TACF breeding program tree	Evidence of admixture with C. ozarkensis (requires			
		confirmation)			
29	TACF breeding program tree	Admixed with C. ozarkensis			
38	TACF chapter breeding program tree	Unadmixed C. ozarkensis			
40	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with <i>C. sativa</i>			
41	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	C. dentata/C. sativa hybrid			
42	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	C. dentata/C. sativa hybrid			
43	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	C. dentata/C. sativa hybrid			
44	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with <i>C. sativa</i>			
45	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with <i>C. sativa</i>			
46	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with C. sativa and C. crenata			
47	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with <i>C. sativa</i>			
48	Cape Elizabeth, Maine	Admixed with <i>C. crenata</i>			
54	Naturally occurring progeny of native tree	Admixed with <i>C. pumila</i>			
Under pr	esumed C. pumila or C. ozarkensis				
1	1 Tree near Marshall, VA, presumed <i>C. pumila</i> Unadmixed <i>C. dentata</i>				
13	Progeny of C. pumila/Johnson C. ozarkensis	C. mollissima admixed with C. sativa and C. crenata			

# Overview

The AIMS project: 192 individuals, 42 qualified and sequenced EST-SSR markers

The individuals are grouped by putative species, detail by group follows overview The species labels indicated on this page and in the spreadsheets on the following pages are those the collectors designated.



The colors indicate the groups STRUCTURE detected at *K* = 8.



1CHC2150	Cm			Mike Nave	27CHC2331	Cm/Cd	Dunstan Willamette	Chestnut Hill Tree Farm	R.D. Wallace
2CHC2153	Cm		F1 seedling of Kintzel	Mike Nave	28CHC2352	Cm		Seedling of Chinese cultivar Honglizi	Mike Nave
3CHC2157	Cm			Mike Nave	29CHC2355	Cm		Seedling of Chinese cultivar Jiandingyouli	Mike Nave
4CHC2162	Cm		sport from Bess	Mike Nave	30CHC2364	Cm		Seedling from nut from Hong Kong	Mike Nave
5CHC2163	Cm		seedling from nut from China	Mike Nave	31CHC2375	Cm		Seedling of Chinese cultivar Jiaoza	Mike Nave
6CHC2171	Cm		F1 seedling of Dunstan Revival	Mike Nave	32CHC2376	Cm		Seedling of Chinese cultivar Duanza aka Duanzha	Mike Nave
7CHC2172	Cm		Seedling of nut from Yixian China	Mike Nave	33CHC2377	Cm		Seedling of Chinese cultivar Maobauhong	Mike Nave
8CHC2173	Cm		Seedling of nut from Yixian China	Mike Nave	34CHC2380	Cm			Mike Nave
9CHC2174	Cm		Seedling of Duanza	Mike Nave	35CHC2420	Cm		graft	England/Miller
10CHC2201	Cm		Schmucki timber-type,	Greg Miller	36CHC2421	Cm		sdlg	Greg Miller
11CHC2209	Cm		N China (2001) timber-type 'Xin-T',	Greg Miller	37CHC2430	Cm	Vanuxem		
12CHC2211	Cm		N China (2001), NC2 blight suscept,	Greg Miller	38CHC2435	Cm	Nanking		
13CHC2213	Cm		N China (2001), NC6 blight res, vigorous,	Greg Miller	39CHC2569	Cm		Belaire, MI	
14CHC2219	Cm		Old planting 65-4,	Greg Miller	40NDCHC	Cm	ND2018	Biological replicate	
15CHC2220	Cm		Norris, weevil resistant,	Greg Miller	41NDCHC	Cm	ND2017	Biological replicate	
16CHC2221	Cm		Chandler (from South Carolina),	Greg Miller	42NDCHC	Cm	ND2014	Biological replicate	
17CHC2233	Cm		Liyuan (from China 2003),	Greg Miller	1CHC3126	Ch		Leaves have yellow polka dots	Hill Craddock
18CHC2234	Cm		Liyuan (from China 2003),	Greg Miller	2CHC3127	Ch			Hill Craddock
19CHC2244	Cm		SC4 (from Nanjing Bot. Garden),	Greg Miller	3CHC3128	Ch			Hill Craddock
20CHC2245	Cm		Red bur B66 (from Nanjing Bot. Garden),	Greg Miller	4CHC3130	Ch			Hill Craddock
21CHC2259	Cm		Old orchard, largest tree 72-138,	Greg Miller	5CHC3134	Ch			Hill Craddock
22CHC2260	Cm		Old orchard, Gideon ortet,	Greg Miller	6CHC3135	Ch			Hill Craddock
23CHC2264	Cm		Old orchard, 72-226,	Greg Miller	1CHC2229	Cg		Source may be Szego	Greg Miller
24CHC2266	Cm		Old orchard, Amy ortet,	Greg Miller	2CHC2394	Cg	Mo lut tsz	Chiuhywashaan, Anhwei, China,	S Anagnostakis
25CHC2329	Cm/Cd	Dunstan Heritage	Chestnut Hill Tree Farm	R.D. Wallace	3CHC2395	Cg		1998 of SL R8T4 x SL R2T16, planted 1994	S Anagnostakis
26CHC2330	Cm/Cd	Dunstan Revival	Chestnut Hill Tree Farm	R.D. Wallace					



1CHC1632	Сс		Milwaukie, OR 40-60y medium-sized tree	Todd Birzer	1CHC1628	Cs		Canby, OR 50-70y old large and tall tree	Todd Bizer
2CHC1633	Cc		Milwaukie, OR 40-60y medium-sized tree	Todd Birzer	2CHC1631	Cs		Canby, OR 50-70y old large and tall tree	Todd Bizer
3CHC1635	Cc		Milwaukie, OR 40-60y medium-sized tree	Todd Birzer	3CHC2094	Cs			Michael Dolan
4CHC2100	Cc			Michael Dolan	4CHC2095	Cs			Michael Dolan
5CHC2102	Cc			Michael Dolan	5CHC2099	Cs			Michael Dolan
6CHC2114	Cc			Michael Dolan	6CHC2104	Cs			Michael Dolan
7CHC2115	Cc			Michael Dolan	7CHC2110	Cs			Michael Dolan
8CHC2183	Cc			Perkins, Miller	8CHC2119	Cs			Michael Dolan
9CHC2185	Cc	Gibson'	Mahn-Jo Kim 'Gibson'	Greg Miller	9CHC2120	Cs			Michael Dolan
10CHC2187	Cc		Mahn-Jo Kim unknown	Greg Miller	10CHC2122	Cs			Michael Dolan
11CHC2189	Cc		Anagnostakis "Bee & Thistle"	Greg Miller	11CHC2123	Cs			Michael Dolan
12CHC2191	Cc	'Ibuki'		Mahn-Jo Kim	12CHC2160	Cs			Michael Nave
13CHC2194	Cc		Ok Kwang seedling		13CHC2179	Cs			Michael Nave
14CHC2369	Cc			Michael Nave	14CHC2239	Cs		Berlin sativa	Greg Miller
15CHC2402	Cc		Humphrey Hill R1T7Sandy Anagnostakis	S Anagnostakis	15CHC2305	Cs	marrone	Cascadia Chestnuts	Chris Foster
16CHC2404	Cc		Cheshire church, original 1876 tree	S Anagnostakis	16CHC2306	Cs	marrone	Cascadia Chestnuts	Chris Foster
17CHC2408	Cc		Beatie 1929 wild trees Chitose Mura, Japan	S Anagnostakis	17CHC2307	Cs	marrone	Cascadia Chestnuts	Chris Foster
18CHC2409	Cc		USDA #104016, FP 'GO', Ippoimura, Japan	S Anagnostakis	18CHC2361	Cs			Michael Nave
19CHC2410	Cc		Bee and Thistle Inn, original 1876 tree	S Anagnostakis					
20CHC2412	Cc		Old Lyme Inn	S Anagnostakis					
21CHC2566	Cc								
22CHC2161	Cc/Cs		crenata/sativa?	Michael Nave					





#### 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55

1CHC1660	Cd		Roxbury tree 6 (R6), Roxbury, CT	S Anagnostakis	29CHC2619	Cd	Parent	Maddox Lilac/MeadowviewBC: GL403/Meadowview: BH1D3C	TACF
2CHC1664	Cd		Cornwall CT, tree C1	S Anagnostakis	30CHC3119	Cd		Non-transgenic C. dentata: NY	Powell
3CHC1681	Cd		Tree N3, Nepaug Reservoir, Litchfield Co., CT	S Anagnostakis	31CHC3120	Cd		Non-transgenic C. dentata related to Ellis 1	Powell
4CHC1698	Cd		Tree G10, Goodwin State Forest, Hampton, CT	S Anagnostakis	32CHC3121	Cd		Non-transgenic C. dentata: near Pond 1 site	Powell
5CHC1706	Cd		Tree 4, Long Island, NY	S Anagnostakis	33CHC3123	Cd	Transgenic	Green Stem Tissue from transgenic C. dentata	Powell
6CHC2077	Cd		Bob Minor tree	Chuck Wilson	34CHC3125	Cd		Non-transgenic C. dentata: NY	Powell
7CHC2078	Cd			Gary Fernald	35CHC3125-2	Cd		Technical replicate	
8CHC2080	Cd			Gary Fernald	36CHC3140	Cd		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = PA-LLPi, Father = GL104	
9CHC2118	Cd		Burnt Ridge Nursery	Michael Dolan	37CHC3156	Cd		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = PA-OrYo, Father = CL287	
10CHC2147	Cd		Burnt Ridge Nursery	Michael Dolan	38CHC3207	Cd		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = GR-171 , Father = opAm	
11CHC2148	Cd		Burnt Ridge Nursery	Michael Dolan	39CHC3255	Cd		Kennebunk ME	Mainers
12CHC2199	Cd		Snedden native dentata	Greg Miller	40CHC3256	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
13CHC2240	Cd		WV state nursery dentata	Greg Miller	41CHC3257	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
14CHC2243	Cd		Rosati (from NJ)	Greg Miller	42CHC3258	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
15CHC2326	Cd		Amherst, NH	Curt Laffin	43CHC3259	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
16CHC2328	Cd		Hudson, NH	Curt Laffin	44CHC3260	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
17CHC2332	Cd		Atkinson, ME Champion tree from the site	Curt Laffin	45CHC3261	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
18CHC2333	Cd		Wilton, NH	Curt Laffin	46CHC3263	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
19CHC2336	Cd		Colchester, VT	Curt Laffin	40CHC3263	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
20CHC2336-2	Cd		Colchester, VT	Curt Laffin	470103204	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
21CHC2381	Cd		Ada (Marshall), VA	Jack LaMonica	40000205	Cd		Cape Elizabeth Maine	Mainers
22CHC2385	Cd		Delaplane, VA. Some Chinquapin traits	Jack LaMonica	490003200	Cd		Bremen ME	Mainers
23CHC2389	Cd		Delaplane, VA. Near VOS and Little Cobbler (BCMT)	Jack LaMonica	50CHC3267	Cu			Mainers
24CHC2416	Cd		Morgan County, KY	Scott Freidhof	51CHC3268	Ca		Bremen ME	Mainers
25CHC2417	Cd		Bath County, KY Terry Stamper	Terry Stamper	52CHC3269	Cd	- 11:	Bremen ME	Mainers
26CHC2425	Cd				53CHC3270	Cd	Ellis	Biological replicate	Powell
27CHC2605	Cd	Parent	MM12/MeadowviewBC: GL104/Meadowview: BH1B1C	TACF	54CHC3270-2	Cd	Ellis	Biological replicate	Powell
28CHC2609	Cd	Parent	Myco 10-8/MeadowviewBC: GL104/Meadowview: BH1B1C	TACF	55CHC2204	Cd		Lee native dentata offspring	Greg Miller
C. mollissma (Cm) 📕 C. henryi (Ch) 🧧 C. seguinii (Cg) 🦳 C. crenata (Cc) 🦳 C. sativa (Cs) 🔤 C. dentata (Cd) 🧧 C. pumila (Cp) 🔤 C. ozarkensis (Co)									



C. mollissma (Cm) C. henryi (Ch) C. seguinii (Cg) C. crenata (Cc) C. sativa (Cs) C. dentata (Cd) C. pumila (Cp)

"C. dentata" detail

27CHC2605	Parent	MM12/MeadowviewBC: GL104/Meadowview: BH1B1C
28CHC2609	Parent	Myco 10-8/MeadowviewBC: GL104/Meadowview: BH1B1C
29CHC2619	Parent	Maddox Lilac/MeadowviewBC: GL403/Meadowview: BH1D3C
30CHC3119		Non-transgenic C. dentata: NY
31CHC3120		Non-transgenic C. dentata related to Ellis 1
32CHC3121		Non-transgenic C. dentata: near Pond 1 site
33CHC3123	Transgenic	Green Stem Tissue from transgenic C. dentata
34CHC3125		Non-transgenic C. dentata: NY
35CHC3125		Technical replicate of 34
36CHC3140		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = PA-LLPi, Father = GL104
37CHC3156		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = PA-OrYo, Father = CL287
38CHC3207		Region = PA/NJ, Mother = GR-171 , Father = opAm
39CHC3255		Kennebunk ME
40CHC3256		Cape Elizabeth Maine
41CHC3257		Cape Elizabeth Maine
42CHC3258		Cape Elizabeth Maine
43CHC3259		Cape Elizabeth Maine
44CHC3260		Cape Elizabeth Maine
45CHC3261		Cape Elizabeth Maine
46CHC3263		Cape Elizabeth Maine
47CHC3264		Cape Elizabeth Maine
48CHC3265		Cape Elizabeth Maine
49CHC3266		Bremen ME
50CHC3267		Bremen ME
51CHC3268		Bremen ME
52CHC3269		Bremen ME
53CHC3270	Ellis	Biological replicate
54CHC3270	Ellis	Biological replicate



1CHC2091	Ср	Near Marshall, VA	Jack LaMonica	11CHC3282	Со	H28-R	MO/OCF
2CHC2225	Ср	2nd gen local pumila, seedling	Greg Miller	12CHC3283	Со	1TOSS	MO/OCF
3CHC2246	Ср	1st gen local pumila, seedling	Greg Miller	13CHC3284	Со	KS3GLA	MO/OCF
4CHC2288	Ср	C. pumila from VA, seedling	Greg Miller	14CHC3285	Со	C2D5	MO/OCF
5CHC2289	Ср	"ACF" (from KY?), seedling	Greg Miller	15CHC3286	Со	1JDG	MO/OCF
6CHC2295	Ср	Shibig from KY, seedling	Greg Miller	16CHC3288	Со	C38M	MO/OCF
7CHC2297	Ср	Taylor from VA, seedling	Greg Miller	17CHC3289	Со	2J3B	MO/OCF
8CHC2298	Ср	Chinkapin cove unknown	Greg Miller	18CHC3290	Со	T46WLEA	MO/OCF
9CHC2393	Ср	Delaplane, VA. Near Galanis C. dentata	Jack LaMonica	19CHC3291	Со	4E1E	MO/OCF
10CHC2227	Cp/Co	George Johnson (from AR/LA)	Greg Miller	20CHC3292	Со	3R7AOR	MO/OCF
11CHC2228	Cp/Co	George Johnson (from AR/LA)	Greg Miller	21CHC3292-2	Co	Technical replicate	MO/OCF
12CHC2290	Cp/Co	Orman (from MS)	Greg Miller	22CHC3293	Со	O54BO	MO/OCF
13CHC2226	Cp/Co	local x Johnson ozarkensis	Greg Miller	23CHC3294	Со	4M2FF	MO/OCF
1CHC2293	Со	Barnes from MO, seedling	Greg Miller	24CHC3295	Со	S4S6	MO/OCF
2CHC3273	Со	JW78	MO/OCF	25CHC3297	Со	L8BJD	MO/OCF
3CHC3274	Со	JW77	MO/OCF	26CHC3298	Со	1TOS	MO/OCF
4CHC3275	Со	3PLO	MO/OCF	27CHC3299	Со	4D4T	MO/OCF
5CHC3276	Со	4K03	MO/OCF	28CHC3300	Со	2JB4	MO/OCF
6CHC3277	Со	S3CC6WW	MO/OCF	29CHC3301	Со	T45C	MO/OCF
7CHC3278	Со	G16MET	MO/OCF	30CHC3302	Со	D76H	MO/OCF
8CHC3279	Со	24851CMM	MO/OCF	31CHC3303	Со	JH-2CM	MO/OCF
9CHC3280	Со	9JLBS	MO/OCF	32CHC3271	Н	Luvall's Monster	Greg Miller
10CHC3281	Со	CW366SCC	MO/OCF	33CHC3272	Н	Paragon	Greg Miller
C. mollissma (Ci	m) 📕	C. henryi (Ch) 🛛 C. seguinii (Cg)	C. crenata (Cc)	C. sati	va (Cs)	C. dentata (Cd)	🧧 C. pumila (Cp) 🛛 C. ozarkensis (

